



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/759,207	01/16/2001	Iris Pecker	00/21505	1817

7590 05/07/2002

G. E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.  
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA  
SUITE 207  
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

DECLoux, AMY M

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1644

DATE MAILED: 05/07/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Interview Summary

Application No.

09/759,207

Applicant(s)

Pecker et al.

Examiner

DeCloux, Amy

Group Art Unit

1644



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) DeCloux, Amy

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Seinbein Sol

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview Apr 18, 2002

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 6

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Substituting the phrase --being at least 90% homologous to SEQ ID NO:2-- for the phrase "being at least 95% similar to SEQ ID NO:2" in Claims 1 and 6 was discussed. Applicant pointed to support for such language in parent application 08/922,170, column 6, noting that SEQ ID NO:10 of said application is identical to SEQ ID NO:2 of the instant application.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

*Amy DeCloux 4-18-02*